August 2007 TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY PAGE 1

2007 DISTRICT ACCOUNTABILITY DATA TABLES - STANDARD PROCEDURES

DISTRICT NAME: ROSEBUD-LOTT

DISTRICT NUMBER: 073905

District Rating: Academically Acceptable

Analysis groups	used to	determine	ratings	are marked	with an	'X'.
			_	_	_	

Academically Acceptable standards are shown in parentheses.

Grade 8 science results are not included because they are not used in the 2007 accountability system. Special formats ('*', >99%, <1%) are used to protect student confidentiality.

TEXAS ASSESSME						2006			Require		1
	•	200/				2000			TIIDT.OAGI	ienc .	
	Number		Pct	Stu	Number		Pct	Met			
Performance	Met	Number	Met	Grp	Met	Number	Met	Min	Act		Met
Results	Std	Taking	Std	8	Std	Taking	Std	Size	Chg	RI	RI?
Reading/ELA (6	5%)										
All Students	417	468	89%	100%	448	501	89%		0		
African Amer	57	72	79%	15%	68	82	83%		-4		
Hispanic	102	112	91%	24%	105	116 300	91%		0		
White	254	279	91%	60%	274	300	91%		0		
Econ Disadv	186	216	86%	46%	196	220	89%		-3		
Writing (65%)											
All Students	82	91	90%	100%	80	90	89%		1		
African Amer	*	*	88%	*		21	86%		2		
Hispanic	*	*	93%	*	*	*	91%		2		
White	40	45	89%	49%	39	44			0		
Econ Disadv		52		57%		50			-2		
									_		
Social Studies		184	0.50	1000	101	011	010		4		
All Students	165 *	174 *	95%	100% *	191	211	91%		4		
African Amer	*	*	92%	*		32	91%		1		
Hispanic			97%		31	37	84%		13		
White Econ Disadv	108	114 69	95% 93%	66% 40%	130 58	141 70	92% 83%		3 10		
. ECON DISAGV	04	69	336	40%	36	70	03%		10		
Mathematics (4	5%)										
All Students	364	467	78%	100%	370	497	74%		4		
African Amer	45	69	65%	15%	48	80	60%	Yes	5	**	No
Hispanic	90	111	81%	24%	88	111	79%		2		
White	225	282		60%		303	77%		3		
Econ Disadv	162	219	74%	47%	158	218	72%	Yes	2	2	Yes
Science (40%)											
All Students	113	162	70%	100%	134	198	68%	Yes	2	4	No
African Amer	9	20		12%		33	42%		3		
Hispanic	24	37	65%	23%	22	33	67%	Yes	-2	**	No
. White	79	103	77%	64%	98	132	74%		3		
Econ Disadv	36	62	58%	38%	39	67	58%	Yes	0	**	No
** Met the min	nimum size :	requirement	t, but o	lid not	meet the 7	0% floor	for Rec	ognize	d.		
STATE-DEVELOPE	D ALTERNATI	VE ASSESSMI	ENT II ((SDAA II) TABLE				Requir		
		2007				2006			Improve	ment	
	# Tests		Pct	Stu	# Tests		Pct	Met			
SDAA II	Met	#	Met	Grp	Met	#	Met	Min	Act		Met
Results (50%)	ARD	Tests	ARD	%	ARD	Tests	ARD	Size	Chg	R.	RI?
All Students	*	*	> 99%	*	*	*	> 99%		*		
EXCEPTIONS TAB	LE										
Number Msrs Evaluated	Number Allowed	Number Needed	Floor Met		Msr(s) Use in 2006?		ions Ap	plied			
						_					
22	N/A	N/A	N/A		N/A	N/A					

August 2007 TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY PAGE 2

2007 DISTRICT ACCOUNTABILITY DATA TABLES - STANDARD PROCEDURES

DISTRICT NAME: ROSEBUD-LOTT

DISTRICT NUMBER: 073905

District Rating: Academically Acceptable

Analysis groups used to determine ratings are marked with an 'X'.

Academically Acceptable standards are shown in parentheses.

Grade 8 science results are not included because they are not used in the 2007 accountability system.

Special formats ('*', >99%, <1%) are used to protect student confidentiality.

COMPLETION RATE I TABLE (Gr. 9-12) (75.0%)

	Class of 2006			Class of 2005					Required Improvement			
					Stu				Met			
	# Com-	#	# in	Comp	Grp	# Com-	# in	Comp	Min	Act		Met
	pleters	dropouts	Class	Rate	%	pleters	Class	Rate	Size	Chg	RI	RI?
All Students	79	2	82	96.3%	100%	83	87	95.4%		0.9		
African Amer	16	0	16	100.0%	20%	13	14	92.9%		7.1		
Hispanic	11	1	13	84.6%	16%	17	18	94.4%		-9.8		
White	52	1	53	98.1%	65%	53	55	96.4%		1.7		
Econ Disadv	20	2	23	87.0%	28%	22	26	84.6%		2.4		

Decreases in completion rates may be due to significant changes in the dropout definition beginning with the 2005-06 school year.

Completion data not evaluated for your accountability rating due to small numbers or no data.

ANNUAL DROPOUT RATE TABLE (Gr. 7-8) (1.0%)

|-----|

# Dropouts	# 7-8 Graders	Dropout Rate	Grp %
1	152	0.7%	100%
0	29	0.0%	19%
0	31	0.0%	20%
1	90	1.1%	59%
0	82	0.0%	54%
	Dropouts 1 0 0 1	Dropouts Graders 1 152 0 29 0 31 1 90	Dropouts Graders Rate 1 152 0.7% 0 29 0.0% 0 31 0.0% 1 90 1.1%

Due to definitional changes, Annual Dropout Rate Required Improvement is not calculated in 2007.

Dropout data not evaluated for your accountability rating due to small numbers or no data.